NOVEMBER 2026 MONTHLY INSIGHTS

The EXECUTOR

GOVERNANCE • COMMUNICATION • STRATEGIC LEADERSHIP

PREPARE
INFLUENCE
STABILISE
BUILD
A MORE CERTAIN
TOMORROW



THE READINESS ISSUE

BEFORE YOU MAKE THE PROMISE

Examine the capacity.
Name the trade-offs.
Commit with credibility.

STRATEGY
The capacity behind the plan

COMMUNICATION
Account for the last promise

PARTNERSHIPS
Verify before you commit

THE EXECUTOR & ASSOCIATES | executorandco.com

GOVERNANCE / COMMUNICATION / LEADERSHIP

BEFORE YOU MAKE THE PROMISE

Examine the capacity. Name the trade-offs. Commit with credibility.

BY THE EXECUTOR EDITORIAL TEAM

Before you announce another ambitious year, account for the one you already promised.

The expansion. The better service. The investment in people. The transformation employees were expected to believe in while working around the same unresolved problems.

Which commitments did you fulfill?

Which did you revise openly?

Which disappeared because leadership stopped asking about them?

A new planning cycle does not erase an old obligation. The people who organized their work, money, or expectations around your words may still be waiting for an answer.

What makes you confident they should believe the next announcement?

That question belongs in the strategy meeting before the presentation is approved.

November is when leadership should reconcile ambition with evidence. Examine what the institution owes, what it can deliver, and what its people will have to carry.

Your next promise begins with that accounting.

What makes you confident they should believe the next announcement?

Your strategy may be spending capacity you do not have

An executive team can approve five priorities in an afternoon. The people expected to deliver them may already be carrying a full year of commitments.

When leadership refuses to choose, the choices move downward.

Teams postpone maintenance. Managers reduce review. Employees extend their working hours. Existing commitments lose attention without anyone formally deciding that they should.

Later, leadership asks why execution fell short.

Examine the original decision.

What stopped when the new priority began? Which resources moved? Who assessed the workload? Was the person responsible for delivery allowed to say the deadline was unrealistic without being judged insufficiently committed?

“Make it happen” is a demand. It still requires a workable allocation of time, authority, and resources.

For every major new commitment, name what will stop, slow down, or receive less investment. If nothing can move, identify the confirmed additional capacity.

Unfilled positions and hoped-for efficiencies belong in the dependency assessment. They should not be quietly counted as resources already available.

Which part of your plan assumes that someone else will compensate for what you declined to fund?

Until that question is answered, the plan is incomplete.

Responsibility without authority gives leadership someone to blame

Naming an owner can create the appearance of accountability while leaving the person unable to deliver.

The project lead carries the deadline. Another executive controls the budget. A partner controls a critical dependency. Every disagreement requires an approval that takes two weeks.

When delivery slips, the named owner becomes the most convenient explanation.

Before assigning responsibility, establish what the person can actually decide.

Can they secure the necessary resources? Resolve competing demands? Escalate a missed dependency? Recommend a change in scope? Pause work when a material problem emerges?

Who must respond when they raise an obstacle, and within what timeframe?

Ask the proposed owner to assess the commitment before approval. Record the constraints they identify. Decide which leadership will resolve and which remain conditions on delivery.

If you require someone to accept the promise while withholding the means to fulfill it, examine the fairness of the accountability you intend to apply.

A name beside a deadline does not repair an unresolved decision.

A name beside a deadline does not repair an unresolved decision.

Are you ready to deliver, or merely ready to be seen delivering?

An event needs an announcement. A board meeting needs progress. A campaign needs a compelling claim.

The pressure is understandable. It deserves scrutiny.

The usefulness of an announcement does not establish the readiness of the institution behind it.

Before approving the language, require evidence. Which resources are committed? What has been tested? Which agreements are complete? What depends on decisions outside your control?

Be precise about the stage of the work. Approval in principle, funded implementation, and demonstrated results represent different conditions. The language should reflect those differences.

Ambition can be communicated honestly. Explain the intended outcome, the milestones, and the dependencies that matter. Give people a clear account of what they can rely on now.

At The Executor & Associates, our position is clear: **Visibility without strategy is vulnerability.**

If greater attention would expose a gap you already know exists, resolve it or communicate the limits accurately.

Ask yourself:

“Am I announcing what we are equipped to do, or what I need people to believe about us?”

You should be willing to hear the communications adviser’s answer.

Your employees should not discover their new obligations in a public announcement

A promise to the market becomes work inside the institution.

Someone must answer the questions, deliver the service, explain the limits, and manage the exceptions. Those people deserve preparation.

Before a major announcement, ensure that managers understand the practical implications. Clarify what changes, what support is available, which questions remain unresolved, and where concerns should go.

Ask the people closest to delivery to test the promise against operational reality.

Where will demand increase? What could fail? Which part of the commitment is open to misunderstanding? What happens when the first difficult case arrives?

Listen before the announcement makes revision more expensive.

If employees recognize the familiar pattern of ambitious language followed by improvised execution, another confident message will struggle to carry weight.

Show them the difference in the plan. Fund the change. Remove an obstacle. Clarify authority. Make the trade-off visible.

The institution’s internal experience should provide evidence for its public claims.

Visibility without strategy is vulnerability.

A prestigious partner does not remove your obligation to verify

Partnerships can make a future plan look more credible before their operating details are settled.

The name is impressive. The relationship is promising. Leadership wants to announce momentum.

What has actually been agreed?

Confirm responsibilities, resources, milestones, communication rights, and the evidence each party will receive about progress. Establish what happens if delivery slips or priorities diverge.

A signed agreement still requires an operating mechanism. Who will notice a missed milestone? Who can intervene? Who informs affected people? Under what conditions can the commitment be paused?

Examine the promises being made in your name.

What are you asking others to trust that you have not yet verified?

If a partner will not clarify a material obligation, that reluctance belongs in the leadership assessment. It should influence how much institutional credibility you place behind the relationship.

Your audience may reasonably associate the commitment with both parties. Prepare for the questions that association creates.

A missed promise needs a decision, not a disappearing act

Plans change. Resources shift. New information can make an earlier commitment unwise.

Leaders need room to respond. They also owe an appropriate explanation to people who relied on the original position.

When a commitment becomes doubtful, assess it promptly. Decide whether to change the sequence, reduce the scope, allocate resources, or withdraw.

Then communicate what affected people need to know.

A revised commitment can give others time to adjust. Silence leaves them planning against an expectation you no longer believe is reliable.

Examine what delays the correction. Are you waiting for better information, with a defined review date? Or are you avoiding the embarrassment of explaining that the original promise will not hold?

Your discomfort should not determine how long someone else relies on an inaccurate account.

Readiness includes the capacity to acknowledge a changed position and act on it.

What are you asking others to trust that you have not yet verified?

FROM EXAMINATION TO ACTION

Reconcile the promises before approving the plan

Create one register of material commitments made to employees, clients, partners, and the public.

Record the owner, current status, evidence of progress, remaining dependencies, and next decision date.

Classify each commitment clearly: completed, underway, at risk, or awaiting a decision. Identify any initiative that has been abandoned without formal acknowledgment.

Then close the gaps in the record. Decide what continues, what changes, and who needs an update.

For next year's major priorities, require a readiness brief covering:

- The outcome being promised and how delivery will be assessed.
- The resources that are confirmed.
- The authority held by the accountable owner.
- The work that will stop or receive less attention.
- The dependencies and conditions that could alter delivery.
- The triggers for reassessment and the person responsible for escalating them.

Use those briefs to make choices before approving the language.

Finally, ask a person close to implementation to review the plan and identify where it relies on unspoken assumptions. Give that assessment a route to change the decision.

Your next year deserves ambition supported by capacity, clear authority, and an honest account of what remains uncertain.

So do the people whose trust makes the plan possible.

Before you ask anyone to believe in next year, account for what you asked them to believe this year.

Before you ask anyone to believe in next year, account for what you asked them to believe this year.